



Message

From: Fairbanks, Brianna [Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov]
Sent: 3/23/2018 9:21:06 PM
To: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]; Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Legal review - suggested order of review, depending on time available

<!--[if lte mso 15 || CheckWebRef]-->

Fairbanks, Brianna has shared OneDrive for Business files with you. To view them, click the links below.

 Recommendations for Task Specific Plan for Parcel G-EPA V1 3-22-2018 brf.docx

 EPA Comments on Workplan - Techlaw and Kappelman V4 LL brf 3-23-2018.doc

<!--[endif]-->

Hi Lily –

I don't have any comments on the statisticians letter or your comments, and the cover letter with your edit is fine. Attached are the other documents with my edits and comments. Please let me know if I missed something. The alternatives table also looks fine.

Brianna Fairbanks

Attorney/Advisor
EPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 972-3907

From: LEE, LILY
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2018 9:12 AM
To: Fairbanks, Brianna <Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov>; Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>
Subject: Legal review - suggested order of review, depending on time available

Dear Brianna,

Depending on your Edwards work, here is where I am so far.

Dear John, I'm sorry I didn't expect you to fly out so early Hawaii time.

I don't know how much time you both have and when you have it, so I am suggesting this order of review:

1 – Cover Ltr (version yesterday morning) – I only had one more suggested edit after looking at it again last night:

Paragraph 3: Full excavation and scanning targeted at the survey units associated with the greatest potential for contamination will be crucial to address ~~eliminate~~ this uncertainty and demonstrate that the clean-up standards set in the RODs have been met.

2 – Path forward narrative – sent last night Word file

3 – Detailed table – sent last night Word file. I suggested in my cover email last night to let it lie and move ahead with my #2 above instead.

4 - Statistician memo (I think I sent Wed night, but now I can't find it. However, I can now find the draft cover ltr I sent Tues night 7 pm, but I could not find on Wed night so I thought I had lost my mind and had forgotten to send it. I don't know why sometimes I can find things, and sometimes I can't.) Resending Word & pdf formats.

5- Main body of comments on workplan – Attached in Word and pdf is my latest edits of the version with consolidation of Karla/Jana/Dave comments. I got this version last night at 9 pm, so I have only edited through General Comment #16. For Comment # 12, I have added the note from Jana clarifying, so she will send a new version of that soon.

6 - Lily's comments – Attached in Word and pdf are additional comments I wanted, but Karla asked me to keep mine separate to avoid confusion. I can add them in later.

Coming attractions:

7 - In the mean time, Jana will send some more edits later this morning, e.g. on my placeholder language that we will not accept any increases in size of survey unit.

8 – 50 linear feet – Lots of discussion this morning among EPA's technical team about this proposal we got yesterday afternoon from Sheetal, so I will try to do a conference call to sort this out. Sheetal made a judgment call based on not uncommon practice for chem contam. Our team is trying to make sense of it and try to put it into MARSSIM/statistical terms.

Thank you for your reviews – sorry the package is still in pieces, but we are getting there.

Let me know if you have preferred times this wknd to work on it, and I will try to deliver to you accordingly. My main conflict this wknd is son's birthday party Sat morning – bowling!

Have a good flight!